

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,442		07/26/2001	Masanao Kohashi	074129-0485	4440	
22428	7590	07/24/2003				
FOLEY AN	ID LARI	DNER	EXAMINER			
SUITE 500 3000 K STR		****	THOMPSON, CAMIE S			
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1774	14	
				DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/912,442	KOHASHI ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Camie S Thompson	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the application abandone application about the state of the application application and application applicatio	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-6</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) □ approved or b) □ disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s):	
10.☐ Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		
·		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment seeks to narrow the scope of the claims; thereby, requiring an additional search...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The properties listed in the instant claims such as linear density, strength and birefringence would be expected to be the same as the Kim reference since both Kim and applicant us a polyester fiber comprising 90 mol% or higher of polyethyelene terephthalate.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY LATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700